Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

126. Land at Windrush, Woodlands Close

1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Woodlands Close, Cranleigh
Gross area (ha)	0.16ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	NA

Context	
Surrounding land uses	Single Residential Properties
Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	<u>Brownfield</u>
Existing/previous use	Residential Property
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	WA/2008/1806 – NOV 2008 - Erection of a new dwelling together with alterations to existing dwelling. REFUSED

2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Unclear	Site appears to have been submitted for redevelopment previous (2008 application refused), no indication that the site has been sold
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	Unclear	Given format of site, likely to be in single or family ownership
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	NA	NA

3. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in		On the edge,	
relation to the built-up area of		adjacent to the	
the nearest settlement?		settlement	
What is the size of the nearest	A main centre		
settlement?	A main centre		
How would development of this			
site relate to the surrounding		Neutral	
uses?			
How is the site currently	Drivete access from a depted highway Woodlands Class		
accessed? Is it accessible from	Private access from adopted highway – Woodlands Close		

the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?		
Environmental considerations		
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance	Comments
Green belt	<u>>800m</u>	1.3km
Sites designated as being of European importance ¹	<u>>800m</u>	13km
Sites designated as being of national importance ²	<u>>800m</u>	3.6km
Sites designated as being of local importance ³	<u><400m</u>	400m

Community facilities ar	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>400m-800m</u>	64om
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u> 400m-800m</u>	6oom
School(s)	<u>400m-800m</u> >800m	Primary school 500m, Secondary school –1.1km
Health centre facility	<u>>800m</u>	1.1km
Amenity footpath	<u>>800m</u>	940m
Cycleway	<u>400m-800m</u>	6oom
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u><400m</u>	220M
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	

Historical considerations		
Proximity of		
site to the	Dravinsity	Comments
following	Proximity	Comments
sites/areas:		
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an	

Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
 Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

sites	<u>archaeological site</u>	
Scheduled		
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a	
monuments	SAM	
(SAMs)		
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin	
	<u>a listed building</u>	
Conservation	Site is not adjacent to or	
Area	within the setting of a	
	Conservation Area	

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is		
in fluvial flood risk zone 3	<2 <u>5</u> %	0%
(highest risk)?		
What proportion of site is		
in fluvial flood risk zone 2	<u><25%</u>	0%
(medium risk)?		
Is the site at risk of	NO	
surface water flooding?		
What proportion of site is		
Grade 1 or 2 (highest	<u><25%</u>	ο%
value) agricultural land?		
What proportion of site is		
Grade 3 (valuable)	<u><25%</u>	ο%
agricultural land?		
Significant infrastructure		
crossing the site, i.e.	NO	
power lines, pipelines?		
Impact on Public Rights		
of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	

Physical characteristics		
Characteristics which may affect development on the	Comments	
site		
Topography	Flat	
Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Fiat	
Landscape impact	Open land to the east so visibility may be high, though there is significant tree planting on this boundary	
Would development harm		
landscape character or setting?	significant tree planting on this boundary	

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of	<u>No</u>	

additional traffic travelling through the High Street?		
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	No potential or poorly located	
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	No potential or no support by site promoter	

4. Summary

Conclusions		
Site number/name: 126, Land @ Windrush, Woodlands Close		
Site nomber/name. 120, Ear	d & Willardship Woodlands close	Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for development		
The site has minor constraints		
The site has significant constraints (see density comments)		Х
The site is unsuitable for development		
Potential housing	Approx 4 units – however given the scale of the sur	rounding units
development capacity	this might be considered over development	
(estimated as a development of		
30 dwellings per hectare)		
Estimated development	NA	
timeframe		
Explanation/justification for	See above	
decision to put forward site		
for consideration as a		
sustainable option		
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education		