Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

292. West Cranleigh Nurseries, Knowle Lane, Cranleigh

1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	West Cranleigh Nurseries, Knowle Lane,
	Cranleigh
Gross area (ha)	41.47ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	292 — Rejected in 2014

Context	
Surrounding land uses	This large site is detached from the settlement area of Cranleigh, on its southern side. Part of the site is on the western side of Alfold Road and contains a number of large glasshouses. The larger part of the site is on the eastern side of Alfold Road and comprises flat arable land. This land runs between Knowle Lane and the Alfold Road. The site lies immediately to the south of another promoted housing site (ID No. 395 - Land south and east of Littlemead Industrial Estate).
Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	Greenfield Brownfield
Existing/previous use	Commercial glasshouses (west) and open agricultural land.
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	None relevant found

2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	YES	Submitted in the 2014 call for Sites
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?		NA
Is there a known timeframe for availability?		Considered available within the plan period

3. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?			Clearly outside the settlement
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centre	1	
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?			Not very well
How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?	The site is currently accessed via Alford Road, which runs through the centre of the two parts of the site		
Environmental considerations			
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance	Comme	ents
Green belt	<u>>800m</u>	1km (estir	nated)
Sites designated as being of European importance ¹	<u>>800m</u>	(estimated)	
Sites designated as being of national importance ²	<u>>800m</u>	(estimated)	
Sites designated as being of local importance ³	<u>>800m</u>	(estima	ted)

Community facilities ar	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>400m-800m</u>	250m – 600m (dependent on location within the site)
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>400m-800m</u>	250m – 600m (dependent on location within the site – estimated)
School(s)	<u>400m-800m</u>	estimated
Health centre facility	<u>400m-800m</u>	estimated
Amenity footpath	<u><400m</u>	300m (estimated)

Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
 Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Cycleway	<u><400m</u>	300m (estimated – as above)
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u><400m</u>	estimated
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	Yes – in an accessible location	In the response to the call for sites, those promoting the site for development identified about 16ha of what they describe as 'developable land' (mainly on the western side of Alfold road), with an adjacent 31.9ha of green space which they say has the potential for creation of a parkland public open space with sports and recreational amenities.

Historical consid	erations	
Proximity of site to the following sites/areas:	Proximity	Comments
Archaeological sites	Site is not on or adjacent to an archaeological site	A small area of the south eastern section of the site is recognised as being of archaeological interest/potential
Scheduled ancient monuments (SAMs)	Site is not on or adjacent to a SAM	
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin a listed building	
Conservation Area	Site is not adjacent to or within the setting of a Conservation Area	

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u><25%</u>	Northern and western sections of the site
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u><25%</u>	Northern and western sections of the site
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	Unclear	
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u>25%-75%</u>	Approx 50% on the western side of the site
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>25%-75%</u>	Approx. 50% on the eastern side of the site
Significant infrastructure	NO	

crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?		
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the	Comments
site	
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Flat with stream corridor
Landscape impact Would development harm landscape character or setting?	The site is large and isolated and could have significant visual and landscape impact, including relating to flooding issues.

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards		Comments
other Neighbourhood Plan	objectives	
Will the site generate a		
significant amount of	Possibly	
additional traffic	<u>1 033161 y</u>	
travelling through the		
High Street?		
Does the site have the	No potential or	
potential to provide	poorly located	
improved public parking		
to serve Cranleigh		
village?		
Does the site have the	Potentially - but	
potential to support	support by site	
commercial activities,	promoter not	Only if it was horticulture related on the
including start-ups?	confirmed	existing nursery site

4. Summary

Conclusions			
Site number/name:	292. We	st Cranleigh Nurseries	
			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate	e for deve	lopment	
The site has minor co	nstraints		
The site has significar	nt constra	ints	
The site is unsuitable	The site is unsuitable for development (see notes below) x		
Potential housing		Based on 50% coverage at 30 dph the site could a	ccommodate
development capacity	У	approx. 600 units, not taking into account areas of	flooding and
(estimated as a development of tree planting etc.			
30 dwellings per hectare,)	, , ,	
Estimated developme	ent		
timeframe			
Explanation/justificat	ion for	The Landscape Study identifies that the pastor	ral character

decision to put forward site	of the area
for consideration as a	In terms of
sustainable option	glasshouses
	depend in p
	to the existi

of the area east of Alfold Road limits its potential capacity. In terms of the land west of Alfold Road, where the glasshouses are located, development potential would depend in part on how much weight the Council attaches to the existing use and buildings, including whether or not any of the site is brownfield land. Some of the site is affected by flooding. Overall the site is currently divorced from the main centre and would therefore be inappropriate for development.

Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education