# Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

# 383. Land at St Nicholas C of E School, Parsonage Road

# 1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Parsonage Road, Cranleigh
Gross area (ha)	1.37ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	393 (no data provided)

Context		
Surrounding land uses	North – Education East – Residential / Church South – Retail / Commercial West - Residential	
Is the site:  Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	<u>Brownfield</u>	
Existing/previous use	Education	
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	None Found	

#### 2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)?  If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Unclear	Assumed to be predicated by combining primary school provision across Cranleigh
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	Unclear	
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	Unclear	

# 3. Suitability

Suitability		
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?	Within the settlement	
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centre	
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?	Well	

How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from From Parsonage Road, though with potential access from the highway network? Can the Sarus Place. Likely to put additional pressure on the junction network support the potential of Rowland Road and High Street. level of traffic that would be created? **Environmental considerations** What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the Distance Comments following: Green belt 990m <u>>800m</u> Sites designated as being of 11.7km European importance<sup>1</sup> <u>>800m</u> Sites designated as being of <u>>800m</u> 4.7km national importance<sup>2</sup> Sites designated as being of local <u>>800m</u> 1.2km

Community facilities a	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>&lt;400m</u>	7om
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	2M
School(s)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	om – based on current primary school usage – unclear from submission if this is just for land or involves the relocation of the school facility. 9om from Secondary provision
Health centre facility	<u>&lt;400m</u>	190m
Amenity footpath	<u>&lt;400m</u>	220m
Cycleway	<u>&lt;400m</u>	120M
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u>&lt;400m</u>	70m
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites

importance<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Historical considerations				
Proximity of site to the following sites/areas:	Proximity	Comments		
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an	Part of the site is considered to have		
sites	archaeological site	archaeological potential		
Scheduled				
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a			
monuments	SAM			
(SAMs)				
Listed buildings	Site is adjacent to or within the setting of a listed building	The site is within the setting of locally and fully listed buildings		
Conservation Area	Site is not adjacent to or within the setting of a Conservation Area			

Other key considerations			
		Comments	
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u>&gt;75%</u>		
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>		
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	NO	Assumed due to sloping topography, though given fluvial risk this may need additional research	
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	NO		
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	None		

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site	Comments
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Relatively flat but sloping away to the south
Landscape impact	Site is enclosed apart from the parsonage road

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	<u>Possibly</u>	See previous notes re junction
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	No potential or poorly located	Lacks direct connection to the High Street
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	Potentially – but support by site promoter not confirmed	The site could support commercial development, but this would need to be integrated and connected potentially to the high street. Mixed use development could be viable here.

# 4. Summary

Conclusions				
Site number/name: 383, Land At St Nichols				
		Please tick a box		
The site is appropriate for development				
The site has minor constraints				
The site has significant constraints( See notes below)				
The site is unsuitable for development	opment			
Potential housing	Approx. 40 units at 30 dph – this assumes development of the			
development capacity	entire site and does not take into account the flooding issues (see			
(estimated as a development of	below)			
30 dwellings per hectare)				
Estimated development				
timeframe				
Explanation/justification for	The ite has significant flooding issues, which could be exacerbated			
decision to put forward site	by development if not specifically designed to mitigate these			
for consideration as a	issues.			
sustainable option				
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education				