Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

553. Little Manor Service Station, High St / Horsham Rd Junction

1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Little Manor Service Station, High St / Horsham
	Rd Junction, Cranleigh
Gross area (ha)	0.15ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	553 (no data)

Context	
Surrounding land uses	North – Arts Centre (separated by road),
	West – Public House, East – Commercial,
	South - Residential
Is the site:	
Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	<u>Brownfield</u>
Existing/previous use	Petrol Station
Site planning history	
Have there been any previous applications for development on	None Found
this land? What was the outcome?	

2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Unclear	No data provided
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	Unclear	
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	NA	

3. Suitability

Suitability		
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?	Within the settlement	
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centre	
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?	Well	

How is the site currently The site is accessed from two access points on either side of a accessed? Is it accessible from mini roundabout on the junction of High Street and Horsham the highway network? Can the Road. The site has frontage along both of these streets and network support the potential the access point could be adjusted to a number of level of traffic that would be configurations. created? **Environmental considerations** What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the Distance Comments following: Green belt >8oom 1.3km Sites designated as being of <u>>800m</u> 12km European importance¹ Sites designated as being of 4.5km <u>>800m</u> national importance² Sites designated as being of local <u>>800m</u> 1.1km

Community facilities a	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u><400m</u>	14m
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u><400m</u>	14m
School(s)	<u><400m</u>	Primary – 200m, Secondary – 400m
Health centre facility	<u><400m</u>	4om
Amenity footpath	<u><400m</u>	250m
Cycleway	<u><400m</u>	5m
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u><400m</u>	50m
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	Too small

Historical consid	erations	
Proximity of	Proximity	Comments

¹ Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites

importance³

² Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

³ Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

	<u> </u>	
site to the		
following		
sites/areas:		
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an	The site is within an area considered to
sites	archaeological site	have archaeological potential.
Scheduled		
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a	
monuments	SAM	
(SAMs)		
Listed buildings	Site is adjacent to or within	There are listed and locally listed buildings
	the setting of a listed building	on the east, west and northern sides of the
		site
Conservation	Site is adjacent to or within	
Area	the setting of a Conservation	A small conservation are is located to the
	<u>Area</u>	east of the site

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u><25%</u>	0%
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u>>75%</u>	100%
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	Unclear	Potential risk due to surrounding flood risk issues
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u><25%</u>	0%
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u><25%</u>	0%
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	NO	
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the	Comments
site	
Topography	Flat
Flat/plateau/steep gradient	
Landscape impact	
Would development harm	None – likely to be a visual improvement
landscape character or setting?	

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	<u>Possibly</u>	Whilst the site itself is not large (0.15ha) its location on the junction could significantly impact traffic on the high Street if not appropriately mitigated.
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	Yes – well located	Though this would take up the whole site and would create any improvement to the frontage
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	Potentially – but support by site promoter not confirmed	It would be a suitable location for commercial development

4. Summary

Site number/name: 553. Litt	le manor Service Station	
353. Lite	ic manor service station	Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for deve	Nonment	T lease tick a box
The site has minor constraints	•	Х
The site has significant constra		
The site is unsuitable for devel	opment	
Potential housing development capacity (estimated as a development of 30 dwellings per hectare)	Approx. 5 units at 3odph. It is assumed that this site would be best suited to a flatted development, but might potentially be suitable for a mixed use scheme	
Estimated development timeframe	No indication of availability	
Explanation/justification for decision to put forward site for consideration as a sustainable option	The site could accommodate residential developments. High Street Frontage, this might not be the most for the site, or it would be better in combination wouses. This approach would also be more appropaited. Zone Two location. Therefore the minor constraints to be policy decisions on appropriate land use responsible to the site itself.	st effective use ith commercial given its Flood are considered
Infrastructure requirements? e	a. highways, water, education	•