# Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

# 593. The Cranleigh Hotel, Guildford Road

# 1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	The Cranleigh Hotel, Guildford Road
Gross area (ha)	0.18ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	

Context		
Surrounding land uses	North – Cricket Club/Conservation Area	
	East/South - Light Industrial / Commercial	
	West - Residential	
Is the site:		
Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	<u>Brownfield</u>	
Existing/previous use	Hotel	
Site planning history	WA/2013/1563 — Sept 2013 — REFUSED -	
Have there been any previous applications for development on	Erection of 6 dwellings following	
this land? What was the outcome?	demolition of existing storage building	
	(Land at The Cranley Hotel)	

#### 2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)?  If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Unclear	May be partially available given previous planning application
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	Unclear	Assumed to be in single ownership given previous application
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	NA	

# 3. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?	Within the settlement		
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centre		
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?	Well	Neutral (dependent on other	Not very well

		surrounding sites)	
How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?	From single access point shared with other sites from B2128.  Not a large site so impact on the highway network would not be expected to be significant.		
Environmental considerations			
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance	Comments	
Green belt	<u>400m-800m</u>	57m	
Sites designated as being of European importance <sup>1</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>	11km	
Sites designated as being of national importance <sup>2</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u> 4.8km		
Sites designated as being of local importance <sup>3</sup>	>800m		

Community facilities ar	Community facilities and services					
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments				
Village / local centre / shop	<u>&lt;400m</u>	210M				
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	4om				
School(s)	<u>400m-800m</u>	700M				
Health centre facility	<u>400m-800m</u>	820m				
Amenity footpath	<u>&lt;400m</u>	110m				
Cycleway	<u>400m-800m</u>	550m				
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u>&lt;400m</u>	7m - Common land north of the B2128				
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	Too small				

Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
 Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Historical consid	Historical considerations				
Proximity of site to the following sites/areas:	Proximity	Comments			
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an				
sites	<u>archaeological site</u>				
Scheduled ancient monuments (SAMs)	Site is not on or adjacent to a  SAM				
Listed buildings	Site contains a listed building				
Conservation Area	Site is adjacent to or within the setting of a Conservation Area	Overlooks conservation area to the north – separated by highway			

Other key considerations				
		Comments		
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%		
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%		
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	NO			
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%		
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>&gt;75%</u>	100%		
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	NO			
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>			

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site	Comments
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Flat
Landscape impact Would development harm	Site is currently very open and would have an impact visually when viewed from the open areas of the conservation area.

	scape		

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards		Comments
other Neighbourhood Plan	objectives	Comments
Will the site generate a		
significant amount of	Possibly	Site not large, but if developed in tandem with
additional traffic	<u>1 033161y</u>	other sites around it there would be a
travelling through the		cumulative impact
High Street?		
Does the site have the	No potential or	
potential to provide	poorly located	
improved public parking		Too small if developed singularly
to serve Cranleigh		
village?		
Does the site have the	Potentially – but	
potential to support	support by site	The existing building does have conversion
commercial activities,	<u>promoter not</u>	The existing building does have conversion
including start-ups?	<u>confirmed</u>	potential
-		

# 4. Summary

Conclusions				
Site number/name: 593, The Cranley Hotel, Guildford Road, Cranfield				
		Please tick a box		
The site is appropriate for deve	lopment			
The site has minor constraints	(potential loss of existing hotel accommodation)	Х		
The site has significant constra	ints			
The site is unsuitable for develo	ppment			
Potential housing	Approx. 5 units, though site boundary includes e	xisting listed		
development capacity	building and therefore density may be signific	antly less.		
(estimated as a development of				
30 dwellings per hectare)				
Estimated development	NA			
timeframe				
Explanation/justification for	Would need policy review in view of loss of hotel p	orovision, and		
decision to put forward site	also practicality of conversion of the building for residential use			
for consideration as a	and the impact of additional development on the setting of the			
sustainable option	listed building			
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education				