Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

688. Land at Bowles Farm, Horsham Road, Cranleigh

1. Background information

| Site location and use | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Site location | Bowles Farm, Horsham, Cranleigh |
| Gross area (ha) | 29.37ha |
| SHLAA site ref (if applicable) | 688 |

| Context | |
|---|---|
| Surrounding land uses | This large and irregular-shaped site is located on the eastern side of Cranleigh. It adjoins the existing Local Plan settlement boundary in the vicinity of Woodlands Close, Cranleigh Mead, Durnsford Way and Broad Walk. Part of the site has a frontage onto the Horsham Road. The site currently comprises large agricultural fields bounded by trees. |
| Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K | Greenfield |
| Existing/previous use | Agriculture |
| Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome? | None Found |

2. Availability

| Availability | | |
|---|--------|--|
| | Yes/No | Comments |
| Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment | YES | Assumed to be available as submitted in the 2014 call for sites |
| Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners? | NO | |
| Is there a known timeframe for availability? | | Assumed to be available within the plan timescale |

3. Suitability

| Suitability | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Where is the site located in | On the edge, | |
| relation to the built-up area of | adjacent to the | |

| the nearest settlement? | | settlement | |
|---|--|------------|---------------|
| What is the size of the nearest settlement? | A main centre | 2 | |
| How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses? | | Neutral | Not very well |
| How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created? | The site has a frontage onto Horsham Road, but this frontage is some distance east of where the existing settlement boundary ends. The scale of impact from a site (if developed out in its entirety) would be significant. | | |
| Environmental considerations | | | |
| What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following: | Distance | Comme | ents |
| Green belt | <u>>800m</u> | 1.3kr | n |
| Sites designated as being of European importance ¹ | <u>>800m</u> 12.9km | | m |
| Sites designated as being of national importance ² | <u>>800m</u> | 2.9kr | n |
| Sites designated as being of local importance ³ | <u><400m</u> | om | |

| Community facilities and services | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre): | Distance | Observations and Comments | |
| Village / local centre / shop | <u>400m-800m</u> | 67om | |
| Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day) | <u><400m</u> | 390m | |
| School(s) | <u><400m</u> <u>400m-800m</u> <u>>800m</u> | Primary - 550m, Secondary - 1.2km | |
| Health centre facility | <u>>800m</u> | 950m | |
| Amenity footpath | <u>>800m</u> | 96om | |
| Cycleway | <u>400m-800m</u> | 66om | |
| Open space/ recreation/play facilities | <u><400m</u> | 6om | |
| Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/ | <u>Yes – but location is</u> <u>not easily</u> <u>accessible</u> | | |

 ¹ Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
² Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
³ Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

| community facilities? | |
|-----------------------|--|

| Historical conside | erations | |
|--|--|--|
| Proximity of site to the following sites/areas: | Proximity | Comments |
| Archaeological | <u>Site is not on or adjacent to an</u> | |
| sites | <u>archaeological site</u> | |
| Scheduled | | |
| ancient | <u>Site is not on or adjacent to a</u> | |
| monuments | SAM | |
| (SAMs) | | |
| Listed buildings | <u>Site is adjacent to or within</u> the setting of a listed building | Site wraps around New Park Farm, which includes a large listed building. |
| Conservation | <u>Site is not adjacent to or</u> | |
| Area | within the setting of a | |
| | Conservation Area | |

| Other key considerations | | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| | | Comments |
| What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 | <25% | 0% |
| (highest risk)? | <u>~2570</u> | 070 |
| What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)? | <u><25%</u> | 0% |
| Is the site at risk of surface water flooding? | NO | |
| What proportion of site is | | |
| Grade 1 or 2 (highest | <u><25%</u> | 0% |
| value) agricultural land? | | |
| What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land? | <u>>75%</u> | 95% |
| Significant infrastructure | | |
| crossing the site, i.e. | NO | |
| power lines, pipelines? | | |
| Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs) | <u>Significant</u> | There are several public footpaths crossing the site |

| Physical characteristics | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Characteristics which may affect development on the site | Comments |
| Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient | Flat, small drop off to the north |

| Landscape impact | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Would development harm | |
| landscape character or setting? | |

The Landscape Study identifies that there could be some capacity for development in the northern part of the area, where it is more closely related to existing housing.

| Other considerations | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Extent to which the site contributes towards | | Comments |
| other Neighbourhood Plan | objectives | |
| Will the site generate a | | |
| significant amount of | | On the basis of volume of additional traffic |
| additional traffic | <u>Yes</u> | moving through the town centre travelling |
| travelling through the | | north west |
| High Street? | | |
| Does the site have the | <u>No potential or</u> | |
| potential to provide | poorly located | |
| improved public parking | | |
| to serve Cranleigh | | |
| village? | | |
| Does the site have the | Potentially – but | |
| potential to support | support by site | |
| commercial activities, | promoter not | SHLAA suggests mixed use development – no |
| including start-ups? | <u>confirmed</u> | detail provided. |
| | | |

4. Summary

| Site number/name: 688. Lai | nd at Bowles Farm, Horsham Road, Cranleigh | |
|--|---|-------------------|
| · · | | Please tick a box |
| The site is appropriate for deve | elopment | |
| The site has minor constraints | | |
| The site has significant constraints (see notes below) | | x |
| The site is unsuitable for devel | opment | |
| Potential housing | SHLAA assessment is 360 units, suggested that the northern | |
| development capacity | segment of the site is more appropriate than the southern | |
| (estimated as a development of | segment | |
| 30 dwellings per hectare) | | |
| Estimated development | | |
| timeframe | | |
| Explanation/justification for | The view of the SHLAA is that the site has been promoted for | |
| decision to put forward site | housing and mixed use development. Although some parts of the | |
| for consideration as a | site adjoin the existing Local Plan settlement boundary, it is not as | |
| sustainable option | well integrated with the settlement area as some of the other | |
| | promoted greenfield sites around Cranleigh. The overall | |
| | constraints are therefore significant. | |
| Infrastructure requirements? e | .a. hiahways, water, education | |