# **Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments**

## 712. Land at Highfold, Horsham Road, Cranleigh

## **Background information**

Site location and use	
Site location	Highfold, Horsham Road, Cranleigh (including
	Highfold, Maytree House, The Long Acre, Little
	Gidding & Rowgardens)
Gross area (ha)	3.07ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	712

Context		
Surrounding land uses	Residential, Agricultural, Woodland	
Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	Greenfield Brownfield	
Existing/previous use	Residential & Woodland	
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	No significant planning applications found for any of the individual properties	

#### 1. Availability

Availability			
	Yes/No	Comments	
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	YES	Assumed to be available having been put forward in the 2014 call for sites	
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	NO		
Is there a known timeframe for availability?		Assumed to be available within the plan period and potentially within the first five years	

## 2. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in		On the edge,	
relation to the built-up area of		adjacent to the	
the nearest settlement?		settlement	
What is the size of the nearest	A main centre		
settlement?	A main centre		
How would development of this			
site relate to the surrounding			Not very well
uses?			

How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?	The site has multiple individual residential accesses from Horsham Road. The site is likely to have a significant impact on the network due to limited scale.	
Environmental considerations		
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance	Comments
Green belt	<u>&gt;800m</u>	2.1km
Sites designated as being of European importance <sup>1</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>	13km
Sites designated as being of national importance <sup>2</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>	3.4km
Sites designated as being of local importance <sup>3</sup>	<u>&lt;400m</u>	38om

Community facilities a	Community facilities and services			
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments		
Village / local centre / shop	<u>&gt;800m</u>	1.2km		
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	90m		
School(s)	<u>&gt;800m</u>	1.3km		
Health centre facility	<u>&gt;800m</u>	1.7km		
Amenity footpath	<u>400m-800m</u>	720M		
Cycleway	<u>&gt;800m</u>	1.2km		
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u>400m-800m</u>	44om		
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/ community facilities?	<u>Yes – but location is</u> <u>not easily</u> <u>accessible</u>	Includes woodland area		

Historical considerations		
Proximity of site to the following sites/areas:	Proximity	Comments

<sup>1</sup> Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
<sup>2</sup> Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
<sup>3</sup> Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an	
sites	archaeological site	
Scheduled		
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a	
monuments	SAM	
(SAMs)		
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin	
_	a listed building	
Conservation	Site is not adjacent to or	
Area	within the setting of a	
	<b>Conservation Area</b>	

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	٥%
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	NO	
What proportion of site is		
Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	о%
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>&gt;75%</u>	100%
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	NO	
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the	Comments
site	
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Flat
Landscape impact Would development harm landscape character or setting?	The site is located within the countryside beyond the Green Belt. The site adjoins the current Local Plan settlement boundary of Cranleigh to the west. To the east the site adjoins an area of Ancient Woodland. It is not considered that this site integrates as well with the settlement area or is as accessible as some of the other greenfield sites being promoted around Cranleigh. The site boundary onto Horsham road is heavily treed.

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	No	
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	<u>No potential or</u> poorly located	
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	<u>No potential or no</u> <u>support by site</u> <u>promoter</u>	

## 3. Summary

Conclusions			
Site number/name: 712. Lan	d at Highfold, Horsham Road, Cranleigh		
		Please tick a box	
The site is appropriate for deve	lopment		
The site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constra	ints (see notes below)	X	
The site is unsuitable for develo	opment		
Potential housing	Site assessed by the SHLAA to have capacity f	or 15 units	
development capacity			
(estimated as a development of			
30 dwellings per hectare)			
Estimated development	Within plan period		
timeframe			
Explanation/justification for	The site is not well connected to key services a	nd is not of	
decision to put forward site significant volume to be able to make a significant contribution to		contribution to	
for consideration as a	improvement of those key services.		
sustainable option	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			