Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

873. Cranleigh Preparatory and Senior Schools, Horseshoe Lane, Cranleigh

1. Background information

Site location and use		
Site location	Cranleigh Prep & Senior Schools, Horseshoe	
	Lane, Cranleigh	
Gross area (ha)	90.1ha	
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	873 (no data)	

Context	
Surrounding land uses	Residential to the south, though with a gap site on the southern boundary between the site edge and the residential edge. There is some light industrial development on the western boundary, open agricultural land to the north.
Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture N/K	Greenfield Brownfield
Existing/previous use	Educational, Agricultural, Leisure
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	Extensive planning history but only related to educational development

2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Unclear	No additional data provided
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	Unclear	See above
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	Unclear	See Above

3. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in		On the edge,	
relation to the built-up area of		adjacent to the	
the nearest settlement?		settlement	
What is the size of the nearest	A main centre		

settlement?			
How would development of this			
site relate to the surrounding			Not very well
uses?			
How is the site currently			
accessed? Is it accessible from	The site is acc	cessed from Horseshoe La	ane and Guildford
the highway network? Can the		is extensive, and clearly d	•
network support the potential	whole site is un	realistic, and therefore it	
level of traffic that would be		the potential traffic impa	act.
created?			
Environmental considerations	l		
What is the distance from the			
edge of the site to any of the	Distance	Comme	ents
following:			
Green belt	<400m	The site is partially wit	
		and partially within	
		countrys	side'.
Sites designated as being of	>8oom	10.6k	m
European importance ¹	<u> </u>	10101	
Sites designated as being of	>8oom	4.8kr	n
national importance ²	<u> </u>	4.00	11
Sites designated as being of local	>8oom	8oon	n
importance ³	<u> </u>	0001	11

Community facilities and services			
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments	
Village / local centre / shop	<u><400m</u>	240m	
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u><400m</u>	om	
School(s)	<u><400m</u>	250m	
Health centre facility	<u>400m-800m</u>	58om	
Amenity footpath	<u><400m</u>	240m	
Cycleway	<u><400m</u>	39om	
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u><400m</u>	om	
Does the site have the potential to provide	Yes – but location is not easily	Edge of settlement	

Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
 Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

additional open	<u>accessible</u>	
space/recreation/		
community facilities?		

Historical consid	Historical considerations		
Proximity of			
site to the	Proximity	Comments	
following	rioximity	Comments	
sites/areas:			
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an		
sites	<u>archaeological site</u>		
Scheduled			
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a		
monuments	SAM		
(SAMs)			
Listed buildings	Site contains a listed building	One large and two additional smaller listed	
		buildings are found at the centre of the site.	
Conservation	Site is adjacent to or within	Conservation Area runs along the southern	
Area	the setting of a Conservation	1	
	<u>Area</u>	boundary of the site	

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u><25%</u>	Very small area directly on the southern boundary of the site
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u><25%</u>	ο%
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	NO	
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u><25%</u>	0%
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>>75%</u>	100%
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	NO	
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>Significant</u>	There are numerous public footpaths and tracks within the site.

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the	Comments
site	
Topography	Flat – slight slope to the south

Flat/plateau/steep gradient	
Landscape impact	The site is large and comprehensive development of it would
Would development harm	have a significant landscape impact which would need a full and
landscape character or setting?	comprehensive assessment. There may be areas, particularly on
	the edge of the site which are more suitable for development .

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	Yes	If developed out comprehensively
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	No potential or poorly located	
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	Potentially – but support by site promoter not confirmed	

4. Summary

Conclusions		
Site number/name: 873. Cranleigh Preparatory and Senior Schools, Horseshoe Lane, Cranleigh		
. , , , ,		Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for development		
The site has minor constraints		
The site has significant constraints		
The site is unsuitable for development (see notes below)		Х
Potential housing	Cannot be calculated because comprehensive deve	lopment of the
development capacity	site is not appropriate, and more detailed review of the	
(estimated as a development of	opportunities on smaller segments of the site is required	
30 dwellings per hectare)		
Estimated development		
timeframe		
Explanation/justification for	See comments above – recommend a comprehensive review of the	
decision to put forward site	site and identify smaller segments of the site which may be	
for consideration as a	appropriate for development.	
sustainable option		
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education		