

**CALL FOR SITES**

<b>Do you think these sites need investigating further?</b>		<b>Key 1 = Yes, 2 = No, and ? = answered Yes and No</b>																																																									
<b>Questionnaire Reference Number</b>		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54				
<b>N29</b>	Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Rd, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
<b>14</b>	Brigown, Wanborough Lane	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
<b>261</b>	Park Parade Park Drive	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	?	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	?	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	
<b>N32</b>	Astra House (new arrangement of site) <i>Combined of:-</i>																																																										
<b>593</b>	The Cranley Hotel, The Common	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
<b>5</b>	Building at Astra House	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
<b>11</b>	Astra House	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>959</b>	The Common Industrial Estate	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number

**CALL FOR SITES**

<b>Do you think these sites need investigating further?</b>		<b>Key 1 = Yes, 2 = No, and ? = answered Yes and No</b>																				<b>TOTALS</b>							
<b>Questionnaire Reference Number</b>		55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80		
<b>N29</b>	Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Rd, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	<b>62</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>14</b>	Brigown, Wanborough Lane	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	<b>48</b>	<b>20</b>	
<b>261</b>	Park Parade Park Drive	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	<b>42</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>N32</b>	Astra House (new arrangement of site) <b>Combined of:-</b>																												
<b>593</b>	The Cranley Hotel, The Common	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	<b>52</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>5</b>	Building at Astra House	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	<b>52</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>11</b>	Astra House	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	<b>52</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>959</b>	The Common Industrial Estate	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	<b>52</b>	<b>24</b>

Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number

## CALL FOR SITES

Tell us a little bit about yourself? Key 1 = Yes																																										
Questionnaire Reference Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40		
<b>DO YOU?</b>																																										
Live in Cranleigh	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1		1	1	1			1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1		1	1	1		
Work in Cranleigh	1		1	1	1	1	1									1											1		1	1	1											1
Go to school in Cranleigh																																										
Visitor in Cranleigh																																										

<b>ARE YOU?</b>																																										
Under 16																																										
17-24																																										
25-40	1																																									
41-64				1			1								1			1	1				1	1														1			1	
65+	1	1	1			1	1		1	1			1	1	1			1										1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1		1	

## CALL FOR SITES

**Tell us a little bit about yourself? Key 1 = Yes**

Questionnaire Reference Number	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	TOTALS				
<b>DO YOU?</b>																																													
Live in Cranleigh	1	1	1		1		1	1		1						1										1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	54		
Work in Cranleigh		1			1																																								14
Go to school in Cranleigh																																													
Visitor in Cranleigh																																								1				1	

<b>ARE YOU?</b>																																											
Under 16																																							1	1			
17-24																																											
25-40																																							1	2			
41-64	1	1		1						1											1			1	1										1	1				18			
65+						1						1				1												1			1	1	1	1	1			1		1			32

## CALL FOR SITES

***Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us***

<b>N29 - Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)</b>	<b>Questionnaire No</b>
Exactly the sort of site that should be developed. Realistically affordable housing/ social housing preferred.	1
These should all be affordable for young people to encourage them to remain in Cranleigh.	2
I would support this development.	4
Support this development.	5
Affordable housing.	8, 10, 31, 44
We have no further housing for elderly population within walking distance of Cranleigh. This development should be designed for this purpose.	11
Caveat - do not let it be overdeveloped. Already a very dense area.	12
Suitable for maximum of 10 dwellings only.	14
Social housing for residents on the Waverley housing register needing a property in Cranleigh.	18
Seems a good plan.	24
This should be redeveloped as a nursing/care home as we have need of these rather than building a new one on a new site.	26
Ideal site is existing development. Affordable or sheltered.	27
Low cost starter homes.	28, 29,
Criminal waste of a resource standing empty so long when it could be used as temp. housing for homeless or developed into at least 10 dwellings. Not a green space so should utilised immediately.	30
Affordable homes no more than 10.	32
This should never have been closed. This site is on a mature housing estate and residents of the home had an affinity and an association and is used for training police dogs. This property should be refurbished so to minimise disruption to existing area. This is the type of property that would lend itself to aftercare for people who could be discharge from hospital but needed short term respite. If it has to be developed it needs to designed to support local people who are in need of small sized homes or starter homes.	35

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

## CALL FOR SITES

***Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us***

<b>N29 - Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)</b>	<b>Questionnaire No</b>
Perfect spot for further residential property.	36
Flats for the young and first time buyers.	37
Definitely starter-homes and small family houses (stepping up from start house size).	39
Suggest flats - not high rise but ample parking is a must. The building is empty and could be reused - what a waste of money letting it rot.	40
Social housing.	41
Actual affordability 1-2 bed unit similar to Killicks.	42
As long as it is affordable units, one bedroom would be good especially for the elderly.	43
This site should be developed.	45
A good idea, total waste of space for an empty building.	46
A good useful site with easy access to facilities.	47
Social housing / housing for elderly (we are an aging population).	54
Already occupied, never will go back to green space - so no impact.	57
Must ensure plenty of parking and be in keeping with the area - maybe limit to 10 properties and make them affordable for the people who live in Cranleigh.	58
Already high density housing so won't impact negatively.	59
I feel, as a local resident, it is only suitable for a max of 10 units. The development should not encroach on important open area behind. If more considered, should be developed for old residents, such as some flats with communal garden and communal lounge.	63
Please build housing for rent by young people on low salaries who are employed in the village.	67
Need for rentable property for young persons and lower paid workers within the village.	70
2 story maisonettes for older or younger people with lift access.	78
Main problem is traffic flow/long delays and all flowing on to Ewhurst Road and Barhatch Road.	80

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

## CALL FOR SITES

***Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us***

<b>14 Brigown, Wanborough Lane</b>	<b>Questionnaire no.</b>
Unnecessary, small development.	1
I would not support this application.	3
13 flats seems too much.	8
No more than 4 houses.	10
Again keep density in keeping with surrounding area.	12
Again, social housing with a maximum of 4 dwellings.	18
No more back garden development.	22
Only 2/4 houses not flats.	24
No more infilling development.	25
The houses need to fit in with the local area i.e. not flats or similar.	26
Totally unsuited to flats 2/4 dwellings maybe reasonable but too far from village to follow neighbourhood polices.	35
Suitable 2/4 dwellings.	36
This area is not very built up and is on the edge of the village so traffic from these houses can bypass Cranleigh centre to get onto bigger roads if required.	39
Social housing.	41
If the flats are 1-2 bed units since most developments are for 3-4 units. Affordability again.	42
Do we need anymore housing.	43
A couple of houses would make sense but it's not significant enough to spend time.	47
No more than 4.	54

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

## CALL FOR SITES

*Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us*

<b>14 Brigown, Wanborough Lane</b>	<b>Questionnaire no.</b>
Totally out of keeping in a rural lane.	55
Traffic, accessibility, car parking, roads.	57
Only 2 properties max.	58
Traffic problems - accessibility poor already - route to Guildford/Dorking key routes required so no more traffic needed.	59
I live nearby - enough disruption and building has occurred already to detriment of area - this proposal will further increase traffic. visually detract from ambience and be out of keeping with local architecture.	65
Appropriate Housing.	76
Inappropriate housing.	77

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

*Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018*

*Page 2 of 2*

## CALL FOR SITES

***Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us***

<b>261 Park Parade Park Drive</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Better options available, no more building needed in this area.	1
I would not support a scheme that does away with the shops.	3
Affordable housing.	8
Suitable for a mix of houses.	10
This would increase the traffic flow to Cranleigh residents would have to get their essentials like milk etc in Cranleigh itself. They would have to pay for parking etc.	11
Maintain retail provision area is already congested.	12
Local people need shops nearby especially the elderly as they may have no transport to travel into the village.	14
The shops must be retained (and improved!) to avoid increased traffic coming into the village.	16
What is planned?	18
Any development must retain retail use.	19
Add more green spaces and post office and local shops.	22
Good to have affordable housing as close to school.	24
We don't want to lose all the shops at that end of the village so a mixture of housing and shops would be ok. Otherwise No. The houses need to be affordable for local fireman/policemen/teachers/nurses etc.	26
Good site in existing development.	27
Needs updating and tidying.	30
Not sure what minor constraints mean. This area requires some refurbishment including possibility of changing commercial to domestic.	35
Agreed, should be mixed residential/commercial.	39

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

## CALL FOR SITES

***Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us***

<b>261 Park Parade Park Drive</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Shops are necessary, so many surrounding properties that may house non drivers.	40
No housing here, it to small a site on an already huge estate.	41
Is it really necessary to get rid of the shops for seven houses. How about the people who live there that rely on the shops.	43
If shop well used -NO If shop underused YES.	44
The shops are well used by the local community.	45
How would this work the parking round there is awful already. What happens to the shops?	46
There are more than enough houses in this squashed up area of the village.	47
All open areas should be kept..	57
Already dense housing with poor road conditions - school traffic pinch points. Lots of children in area as lower cost housing there - they need space.	59
Already a busy urban area. Shops are necessary by local community as well as others in the village. Will shops and restaurants be built as a necessary part of the new buildings.	68
No, would enclose this area and ruin it.	72
Park Mead is a solid car park in evenings and weekends. Parking spaces must be provided or will people will be prisoners on the estate.	75
All going onto Ewhurst Road. Traffic jams already considerable.	80

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

***Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018***

***Page 2 of 2***

# CALL FOR SITES

*Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us*

## **N32 Astra House (new arrangement of site)**

**Questionnaire No.**

A better option than green field building. Minimal impact on jobs, site is tired and in need of regeneration.	1
Upgrade needed on area industrial - not sure what this means but some business sites needed for Cranleigh.	3
I would not support an application that reduces industrial capacity and therefore jobs.	4
Would support development of industrial.	5
Reducing this site for housing will possible take away much needed work. Does Cranleigh really need more housing with all the sites being constructed.	6
If housing had to go on this site, then it must be affordable housing for the people of Cranleigh without taking away jobs or amenities.	7
This adds character to the village and serves a very useful purpose as a pub and hotel.	8
Want to keep local employment.	9
Must be a good mix of homes light industrial would be accepted but not general industrial.	10
It should be kept the same, as it borders the Common and provides an empathetic mixture of houses, hotel.	11
Maintain small industrial (i.e. employment) within village.	12
We do not need another 100 houses, or a large industrial unit. Traffic is at a standstill already on the Guildford Road, the bridge with the traffic lights cannot cope with more traffic, unless it is strengthened.	14
A public house at this end of the village is an important amenity which should be retained.	16
Keep employment.	17
This would have a massive impact on existing dwellings in/around the Common. Is this not a building of historic value?	18
Aspect should be considered in overlooking the cricket green. Lots of green landscape to enhance the area.	22
Starter homes required.	23
Too many houses so close to main access to High Street.	24

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

**Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018**

# CALL FOR SITES

*Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us*

## **N32 Astra House (new arrangement of site)**

	Questionnaire No.
Affordable housing and sufficient parking, but will industrial units so we don't lose all our ability for employment in the area. Should retain a public house at the end of village as a public amenity.	26
Definitely mixed development so employment in village. Affordable among mix site is within village and easy walking.	27
Mixed development.	28
Light industrial needed for employment.	30
How can Cranleigh cope with this amount of houses?	32
Local employment.	33
This is an area within in my opinion is ripe for redevelopment.	35
Agreed it should be mixed residential/commercial.	36
Only if the existing businesses are catered for.	37
Cranleigh has fewer and fewer light industrial areas. This site has been well located for noisy/dirty type enterprises as its on the edge of the village, delivery vehicles don't have to enter the village centre, there is parking for working units. We must encourage small industries here to provide a varied economy in Cranleigh.	39
Value our high street and what it looks like. Firework/bonfire night etc.	40
This is actually on under developed site. One of four that can't actually enhance Cranleigh business development.	41
Local employment for existing workers to provide for emergency services i.e. fire service personnel, retained. All of these developments need to consider where people are going to work.	42
We need to keep jobs in the village to cover the likes the fireman/woman on call during the day.	43
Access onto the main road would need to be looked into. [N32] It's a trouble spot would be good to have something else there. [593]	46

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

**Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018**

## CALL FOR SITES

*Please add any comments you have about the site, about the type of housing you might like to see there, or any other details you would like to tell us*

<b>N32 Astra House (new arrangement of site)</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Very important to preserve/private industrial facilities for people who work. There are too few of these in Cranleigh. The site is an eyesore and development would clean it up being close to the High Street, it could benefit from being mainly affordable - old people - some bungalows might suit. Well worth exploring as long as balance is achievable.	47
This site is too big and there are many businesses that may suffer.	48
Let us endeavour to keep businesses in Cranleigh to support local need sound jobs.	50
Housing, car parking, too many houses, 50 at most.	57
However - not excessive housing as will result in chaos accessing roads and general infrastructure.	59
N32 -yes, brownfield at last! Must keep the industrial side (could be smartened up by developers out of their huge profits!) Please give them gardens. 593/ 5/11/959 - yes, brownfield is good. Keep it in keeping with the surrounding open common land.	60
If we have to consider a further 100 house (why when we have so many new developments??) then this would seem the plausible.	63
Need employment sites in the village.	67
One of few industrial areas in Cranleigh and provides employment for our ever increasing population from other housing. Also social use of hotel/pub which is necessary for community spirit - will these industries be built elsewhere in the village.	68
Village needs employment opportunities not more housing.	70
This whole area is a disgrace, dumped cars, litter etc Any development has got to be an improvement.	75
N32 - no - ok to develop as this a green field site. 593/5/11/959 - yes - inappropriate housing in an area of local beauty.	76
N32 - no Green field site.... 593/5/11/959 - yes - noise levels for new residents if hotel remains as well.	77
Traffic flow/parking.	80

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

**Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018**

**Page 3 of 3**

## CALL FOR SITES

Please rank the sites in order of preference: **1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred**

Questionnaire		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
N29	Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)	1	2		1	1	3	3	1	1	2	4	1	4	2	1	4	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	Brigown, Wanborough Lane	3	4		4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	2	4	4	2	2	2	2	4	4	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	3	2	3
261	Park Parade Park Drive	4	3		3	3	2	2	2	3	3	4		4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	4		3	2	3	2

N32	<b>Astra House (new arrangement of site) Combined of</b>																																	
593	The Cranley Hotel, The Common																																	
5	Building at Astra House	2	1	1	3	3	1	1	3	4	1	4		4	4	4	1	4	4	2	1	4	3	1	4	1	1	2	4		2	4	4	4
11	Astra House																																	
959	The Common Industrial Estate																																	
	<b>Astra House Combined Site Totals</b>	2	1	1	3	3	1	1	3	4	1	4		4	4	4	1	4	4	2	1	4	3	1	4	1	1	2	4		2	4	4	4

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

## CALL FOR SITES

Please rank the sites in order of preference: **1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred**

	Questionnaire	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	
N29	Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)	2	4		1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1		2	1	3	1	1	1			1		1	1				2	3		1
14	Brigown, Wanborough Lane	3	3		3	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	3		3	2	1	4	1	3			2			3			3	4		3	
261	Park Parade Park Drive	4	2		4	2	4	4	4	2	3	3	4		4	3	2	3	4	4			4			4			4	1		2	

<b>N32</b>	<b>Astra House (new arrangement of site) Combined of</b>																																
593	The Cranley Hotel, The Common																																
5	Building at Astra House	1	1		2	3	3	3	1	3	2	2	2		1	4	4	2	1	2			3		2	2	1		1	2	1	1	
11	Astra House																																
959	The Common Industrial Estate																																
	<b>Astra House Combined Site Totals</b>	1	1		2	3	3	3	1	3	2	2	2		1	4	4	2	1	2			3		2	2	1		1	2	1	1	

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

**Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018**

**Page 2 of 3**

## CALL FOR SITES

Please rank the sites in order of preference: **1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred**

	Questionnaire	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	TOTALS			
N29	Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC)	1	4	1	1	1	1		1	1	4	1	3	1	3	1	1	47	7	9	7
14	Brigown, Wanborough Lane	4	4	2	1	2	2		3	3	1	3	4	4	2	2		4	20	15	29
261	Park Parade Park Drive	3	4	3	4	4	3			3	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	1	13	29	23
<b>N32</b>	<b>Astra House (new arrangement of site) Combined of</b>																				
593	The Cranley Hotel, The Common																				
5	Building at Astra House	2	4		4	4			2	1	2	2	1	2	1	4					
11	Astra House																				
959	The Common Industrial Estate																				
	<b>Astra House Combined Site Totals</b>	2	4		4	4			2	1	2	2	1	2	1	4		22	18	9	19

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

# CALL FOR SITES

Sites Ranked in Preference 1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred - Additional Comments

<b>N29 - Longfield former residential care home, Killicks Road, Cranleigh (owned by SCC) - preference comments.</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Return of some sort of arrangement of OAP or people coming out of hospital (Respite).	35
Would be good to have more housing for the elderly.	55
Please investigate further and bring for consultation. I'm against the development of this site and want more info.	62
Should be a new care home.	66
No, Greenfield site.	77

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

***Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018***

***Page1 of 1***

# CALL FOR SITES

Sites Ranked in Preference 1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred - Additional Comments

<b>14 Brigown, Wanborough Lane - preference comments</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Disagree with development.	22
Enough development in Wanborough Lane.	25
Over infilling especially with Park Hatch Pub development - already the junction with Ewhurst Road can be bad with parking - no more than 2 houses.	37
Please investigate further and bring for consultation. I'm against the development of this site and want more info.	62
Don't believe these should be developed at all with all current development!	63

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

***Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018***

***Page 1 of 1***

# CALL FOR SITES

<b>Sites Ranked in Preference 1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred - Additional Comments</b>	
<b>261 Park Parade Park Drive - preference comments</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Shops here are a vital amenity.	16
Over developing again.	37
Please investigate further and bring for consultation. I'm against the development of this site and want more info.	62
Don't believe these should be developed at all with all current development!	63
No.	72

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

*Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018*

*Page 1 of 1*

# CALL FOR SITES

<b>Sites Ranked in Preference 1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred - Additional Comments</b>	
<b>N32 Astra House (new arrangement of site) preference comments</b>	<b>Questionnaire No.</b>
Employment area needed suggest mix industrial/office.	3
This is already a jumbled area of bits and pieces, so a well established development is an opportunity for improvement.	16
Screening the site enhance the development.	22
Too many dwellings - traffic issues.	24
But existing business should be allowed to stay.	37
If developed, must retain present businesses.	38
But conserve the hotel.	45
Please investigate further and bring for consultation. I'm against the development of this site and want more info.	62
Don't believe these should be developed at all with all current development!	63

*Every questionnaire that was completed and received before 5pm Friday 20th July 2018 has been given its own individual reference number*

**Informal Consultation held on 12th-14th July 2018 Cranleigh Village Hall - no more questionnaires accepted after 5pm Friday 20th July 2018**

**Page 1 of 1**