# Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

## N29 Longfield off Killicks Road, Cranleigh





#### 1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Killicks Road
Gross area (ha)	o.38 ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	

Context	
Surrounding land uses	Housing
Is the site:  Brownfield	Brownfield
Existing/previous use	The site is occupied by a former residential care home (owned by Surrey County Council), no longer in use and vacant.
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	Care home granted planning permission and subsequently built.

### 2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)?  If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Yes	
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	No	
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	Yes	Within 5 years

### 3. Suitability

Suitability				
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?	Within the settlement			
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centr	(	Cranleigh village	
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?	<u>Well</u>	The site is located in an existing residential estate and new residential dwellings would be in-keeping within this context.		
How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?	The site is accessed off the B2127, into housing estate. It is anticipated that the highway network could support this development.			
Pedestrian accessibility to High Street	High	well-conne within wall	ocated in a residential area ected with pavements and king distance of the village and main amenities.	
Environmental considerations				
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance		Comments	
Sites designated as being of European importance <sup>1</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>		12,352m	
Sites designated as being of national importance <sup>2</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>		4,301m	
Sites designated as being of local importance <sup>3</sup>	<u>400m-800m</u>		773m	

Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites
 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland
 Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Community facilities a	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>&lt;400m</u>	401m
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	81m
School(s)	<u>&lt;400m</u> <u>400m-800m</u>	Primary School: 305m Secondary School: 602m
Health centre facility	<u>400m-800m</u>	695m
Amenity footpath	<u>&lt;400m</u>	114m
Cycleway	<u>&lt;400m</u>	99m
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u>&lt;400m</u>	11m
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	Site is fairly small

Historical conside	erations	
Proximity of		
site to the	Proximity	Comments
following	Proximity	Comments
sites/areas:		
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an	
sites	archaeological site	
Scheduled		
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a	
monuments	SAM	
(SAMs)		
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin	
	<u>a listed building</u>	
Conservation	Site is not adjacent to or	
Area	within the setting of a	
	<b>Conservation Area</b>	

Other key considerations			
		Comments	
What proportion of site is			
in fluvial flood risk zone 3	<u>&lt;25%</u>	ο%	
(highest risk)?			
What proportion of site is			
in fluvial flood risk zone 2	<u>&lt;25%</u>	ο%	
(medium risk)?			
Is the site at risk of	No	No	
surface water flooding?	110	140	
What proportion of site is			
Grade 1 or 2 (highest	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
value) agricultural land?			
What proportion of site is			
Grade 3 (valuable)	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
agricultural land?			
Significant infrastructure		None	
crossing the site, i.e.	No	NOTIE	
power lines, pipelines?			
Impact on Public Rights	None	None	
of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	Notie	

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site	Comments
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Flat
Landscape impact Would development harm landscape character or setting?	None

Other considerations		
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	<u>Possibly</u>	Whilst the site itself is within walking distance of the village centre and main amenities, traffic will need to access the High Street e.g. for travel on to Guildford.
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	No potential	The site, within a residential estate, is not well located for provision of public car parking
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	No potential and no support by site promoter	The site is too small and being promoted for residential use. It's located in a residential area.

### 4. Summary

Conclusions				
Site number/name: N29 Longfields, off Killick Road				
	Please tick a box			
The site is appropriate for deve	lopment	X		
The site has minor constraints				
The site has significant constra	ints			
The site is unsuitable for develo	ppment			
Potential housing				
development capacity	10 units			
(estimated as a development of				
30 dwellings per hectare)				
Estimated development	5 years			
timeframe				
Explanation/justification for	The site is located in a sustainable location within the settlement			
decision to put forward site	envelope, surrounded by existing housing. It is well connected to			
for consideration as a	the village centre and local amenities. The existing building is			
sustainable option	vacant and has not been in use for some time. Development of the			
	site could provide improved landscaping to t	his area.		
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education				
None known				
Any other issues?				
No				