# Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

## N29 Longfield off Killicks Road, Cranleigh







## 1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Killicks Road
Gross area (ha)	o.38 ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	

Context	
Surrounding land uses	Housing
Is the site:  Brownfield	Brownfield
Existing/previous use	The site is occupied by a former residential care home (owned by Surrey County Council), no longer in use and vacant.
Site planning history  Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	Care home granted planning permission and subsequently built.

#### 2. Availability

Availability			
	Yes/No	Comments	
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)?  If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Yes		
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	No		
Is there a known timeframe for availability?	Yes	Within 5 years	

## 3. Suitability

Suitability			
Where is the site located in relation to the built-up area of the nearest settlement?	Within the settlement		
What is the size of the nearest settlement?	A main centre Cranleigh village		
How would development of this site relate to the surrounding uses?	The site is located in an existing residential estate and new residenti dwellings would be in-keeping with this context.		
How is the site currently accessed? Is it accessible from the highway network? Can the network support the potential level of traffic that would be created?	The site is accessed off the B2127, into housing estate. It is anticipated that the highway network could support this development.		
Pedestrian accessibility to High Street	High  The site is located in a residential are well-connected with pavements and within walking distance of the village centre and main amenities.		
Environmental considerations			
What is the distance from the edge of the site to any of the following:	Distance	Comments	
Sites designated as being of European importance <sup>1</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>	12,352m	
Sites designated as being of national importance <sup>2</sup>	<u>&gt;800m</u>	4,301m	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites <sup>2</sup> Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland

Sites designated as being of local	<u>400m-800m</u>	<sub>773</sub> m
importance <sup>3</sup>		, , <del>,</del>

Community facilities a	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>&lt;400m</u>	401m
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u>&lt;400m</u>	81m
School(s)	<u>&lt;400m</u> <u>400m-800m</u>	Primary School: 305m Secondary School: 602m
Health centre facility	<u>400m-800m</u>	695m
Amenity footpath	<u>&lt;400m</u>	114m
Cycleway	<u>&lt;400m</u>	99m
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u>&lt;400m</u>	11m
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – site is poorly located or too small	Site is fairly small

Historical considerations				
Proximity of				
site to the	Proximity	Comments		
following	FIOXIIIIIty	Comments		
sites/areas:				
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an			
sites	<u>archaeological site</u>			
Scheduled				
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a			
monuments	SAM			
(SAMs)				
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin			
	<u>a listed building</u>			
Conservation	Site is not adjacent to or			
Area	within the setting of a			
	<b>Conservation Area</b>			

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

Other key considerations			
		Comments	
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 3 (highest risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	No	No	
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>&lt;25%</u>	0%	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	No	None	
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	None	

Physical characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site	Comments
Topography Flat/plateau/steep gradient	Flat
Landscape impact Would development harm landscape character or setting?	None

Other considerations			
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments	
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?	<u>Possibly</u>	Whilst the site itself is within walking distance of the village centre and main amenities, traffic will need to access the High Street e.g. for travel on to Guildford.	
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	No potential	The site, within a residential estate, is not well located for provision of public car parking	
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	No potential and no support by site promoter	The site is too small and being promoted for residential use. It's located in a residential area.	

## 4. Summary

Conclusions				
Site number/name: N29 Longfields, off Killick Road				
Please tick a bo				
The site is appropriate for deve	The site is appropriate for development x			
The site has minor constraints	The site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constra	ints			
The site is unsuitable for develo	ppment			
Potential housing				
development capacity	25 units			
(estimated as a development of				
30 dwellings per hectare)				
Estimated development	5 years			
timeframe	timeframe			
Explanation/justification for	The site is located in a sustainable location within the settlement			
decision to put forward site envelope, surrounded by existing housing. It is well connected to				
for consideration as a	the village centre and local amenities. The existing building is			
sustainable option	vacant and has not been in use for some time. Development of the			
	site could provide improved landscaping to t	his area.		
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education				
None known				
Any other issues?				
No				