
Cranleigh Neighbourhood Plan site assessments

N36: Land off Amlets Place Phase II (shown on map below as the site on the right, labelled N36).



1. Background information

Site location and use	
Site location	Land off Amlets Place
Gross area (ha)	1.11 ha
SHLAA site ref (if applicable)	

Context	
Surrounding land uses	Fields, Green Belt to the north, across Amlets Lane. To the south-east, bordering the site, is the Cala homes development.
Is the site: Greenfield	Greenfield
Existing/previous use	Agricultural fields
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	None

2. Availability

Availability		
	Yes/No	Comments
Is the site landowner(s) willing to submit the site for development (if known)? If the site is not available for development, then do not proceed with the rest of the assessment	Yes	
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners?	No	
Is there a known timeframe for availability?		Within five years

3. Suitability

On the edge,		
adjacent to the	:	
settlement		
A manin combus	Crawlaigh village	
A main centre	Cranleigh village	
	There are some scattered residential	
	dwellings bordering the site and a new	
Neutral	Cala Homes development being	
	constructed to the south east, adjoining	
	the south eastern edge of N ₃ 6.	
	e accessed off Russett Grove, part of the new	
adj	acent residential development.	
	The site is remote from the settlement	
	boundary, the village centre and local	
Poor	amenities. There is no continuous	
	pavement along Amlets Lane.	
Distance	Community	
Distance	Comments	
Seem	12,021m	
<u>>000111</u>		
	•	
<u>>800m</u>	4,781m	
	adjacent to the settlement A main centre Neutral The site could be	

¹ Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites ² Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland

Sites designated as being of local	<u> 400m-800m</u>	75270
importance ³	<u>>800m</u>	752M

Community facilities a	nd services	
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the site centre):	Distance	Observations and Comments
Village / local centre / shop	<u>>800m</u>	1km
Public transport (with at least a half hourly service during the day)	<u> 400m-800m</u>	415m
School(s)	<u>>800m</u>	primary- 918m; secondary — 883m
Health centre facility	<u>>800m</u>	1200m
Open space/ recreation/play facilities	<u><400m</u>	37om
Does the site have the potential to provide additional open space/recreation/community facilities?	No – sites are poorly located	Site is too small and poorly located from the centre of the village

Historical conside	Historical considerations				
Proximity of					
site to the	Proximity	Comments			
following	FTOXITIITY	Confinents			
sites/areas:					
Archaeological	Site is not on or adjacent to an				
sites	<u>archaeological site</u>				
Scheduled					
ancient	Site is not on or adjacent to a				
monuments	SAM				
(SAMs)					
Listed buildings	Site does not contain or adjoin				
	<u>a listed building</u>				
Conservation	Site is not adjacent to or				
Area	within the setting of a				
	Conservation Area				

Other key considerations		
		Comments
What proportion of site is		
in fluvial flood risk zone 3	<u><25%</u>	o%
(highest risk)?		

³ Local Nature Reserves, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance

_

What proportion of site is in fluvial flood risk zone 2 (medium risk)?	<u><25%</u>	0%
Is the site at risk of surface water flooding?	No	No
What proportion of site is Grade 1 or 2 (highest value) agricultural land?	<u><25%</u>	0%
What proportion of site is Grade 3 (valuable) agricultural land?	<u>>75%</u>	100%
Significant infrastructure crossing the site, i.e. power lines, pipelines?	No	No
Impact on Public Rights of Way (PROWs)	<u>None</u>	None

Physical characteristics		
Characteristics which may		
affect development on the	Comments	
site		
Topography	Fairly flat	
Flat/plateau/steep gradient	'	
Landscape impact	The area is currently greenfield, located beyond the settlement	
Would development harm	boundary and within an area that would have a low to medium	
landscape character or setting?	impact on the landscape according to the 2014 Waverley	
	Borough Council Landscape Study. A 'Low/medium' capacity	
	rating indicates that development is likely to have an adverse	
	effect on most of the character area and while smaller	
	development may be possible in a very few locations within the	
	character area, it will not be suitable for strategic scale	
	development.	

Other considerations			
Extent to which the site contributes towards other Neighbourhood Plan objectives		Comments	
Will the site generate a significant amount of additional traffic travelling through the High Street?		The site is remote from the High Street therefore access is likely to be by car, but the number of dwellings is very small.	
Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking to serve Cranleigh village?	Poorly located	The site is remote from the village centre.	
Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups?	No support by site promoter	The site promoter is specifying residential self- build.	

4. Summary

Conclusions						
Site number/name: N ₃ 6: An	Site number/name: N ₃ 6: Amlets Place Phase II					
Please tick a box						
The site is appropriate for deve	lopment					
The site has minor constraints		X				
The site has significant constra	ints					
The site is unsuitable for develo	opment					
Potential housing						
development capacity	The site has potential for 13 homes. The developer	has expressed				
(estimated as a development of	an interest in self-build.					
30 dwellings per hectare)						
Estimated development	The site would be available within five years and m	•				
timeframe	timeframe self-build to be constructed within 3 years.					
Explanation/justification for						
decision to put forward site The site is located adjacent to Amlets Place residential						
for consideration as a development which could provide access arrangements. The site						
sustainable option	sustainable option adjacent to the edge of the village.					
	There is a low/medium capacity rating for development in this part					
	of the parish which would limit the scale of development and					
would need to ensure is mitigated.						
Infrastructure requirements? e.g. highways, water, education						
Unknown						
Any other issues?						
No						